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ABSTRACT

Cable-truss tensile structure is one of the most competitive spatial structures. The shape determination and solving self-stress modes are two key problems in design. Although equilibrium matrix theory can solve the two problems, it need good programming and matrix operation capacity, which is difficult to be mastered by engineers and designers. Other methods are mainly used to solve self-stress modes, but how to design rational shape of structure is not introduced and these methods cannot be directly used in finite element (FEM) software. For these problems, based on existed FEM software, the improved force iteration method based on rational shape is proposed. The new method is based on the topological relation of cable-truss tensile structure, and a simple formula for shape determination was deduced from the rational shape. By studying force iteration method, improved force iteration method is proposed to solve self-stress mode of cable-truss tensile structures. The new method is based on the idea that the shape of structure is determined first and then solving self-stress modes, which can rapidly determine rational shape and self-stress mode. Then the feasible prestress can be solved. The new method can be used in FEM software and consider material properties and nonlinearity. Numerical examples show that the new method has a systematic solution flow and high convergence accuracy.

I. Introduction

Tensegrity structures, which always consist of continuous tension struts and discontinuous compression struts, were proposed by Fuller [1]. The first tensegrity structure was designed by Snellson in 1948 [2], but it was only a structure model [3]. According to the basis of tensegrity concept, Geiger [4] first created the practical meaningful tensegrity which was called cable dome which includes a compression ring in the boundary of a tensegrity structure, the so-called Geiger form dome. The most important features of cable dome is its innovative configuration, lightness and high structural efficiency, which have attracted attention from engineers and designers. Meanwhile, the first cable dome was designed by Geiger for the Olympics in Seoul (1986) [4]. After that, many kinds of cable dome structures were built all over the world, such as the Redbird Arena in Illinois (1988), the Florida Suncoast Dome in St. Petersburg (1988), the Taoyuan Arena in Taiwan (1993), and the oval plan Levy form cable dome for the Olympics in Georgia (1996) [5]. In addition, the recent cable dome was the National Fitness center in Ejin Horo Banner, Inner Mongolia (2012) [6].

Meanwhile, there are many novel types of tensile structures at home and abroad and the typical type is cable-truss tensile structure (CTTS), such as spoke cable-truss structure and annular crossed cable-truss structure (ACCTS) [8,9].
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for determining the rational shape of CTTS. Based on the research of CTTS, the paper divides CTTS into planar cable-truss frame, and deducts the simple judgment equation of rational shape from the rational shape of planar cable-truss frame.

For how to solve self-stress modes, based on the flexibility method, Hanaor [16] proposed a unified method for the analysis and prestress design of tensegrity structures. Pellegrino and Calladine [13-15] proposed the singular value decomposition (SVD) technique to solve the independent self-stress modes. Considering the inherent geometric symmetry of cable domes and based on SVD, Yuan et al. [17,18] proposed the concept of integral feasible prestress modes and proposed a general method that referred to DSVD for solving the problems of shape determination and the initial prestress distribution of various forms of cable dome [19]. Based on the linear adjustment theory, a new numerical algorithm was presented for the initial prestress finding procedure of assembling cable-strut [20]. Later, Wang et al. [21] proposed a simple method to design the prestress for cable dome with self-weight based on the nodal equilibrium equation after changing the structural self-weight into the nodal force. Tian et al. [22] proposed constraints removed method to solve the self-stress mode of spoke cable-truss structures based on FEM software. Guo et al. [23] innovated a Newton iteration method to update the prestress and a simple method for updating the structural geometry shape under different states. Guo et al. [24] developed a pretension simulation algorithm to find the feasible prestress and based on the fuzzy relationship between prestress and internal force. The existing methods mostly based on equilibrium matrix theory or nodal equilibrium method to solve the self-stress modes of structures. But those methods cannot consider structural deformation and material properties, and cannot use the existing FEM software to solve these problems.

Based on the existed FEM software, rational shape and the basic principle of force iteration method, an improved force iteration method is proposed to solve self-stress mode of CTTS. The new method first divides CTTS into planar cable-truss frame for simple design, and then according to the unique topological relation of planar cable-truss frame, the internal relationship to maintain its balance is deduced from its rational shape. Secondly, planar cable-truss frame with rational shape can be obtained by using the internal relationship. Finally, the CTTS can be obtained by assembling planar cable-truss frames in reverse.

The layout of the paper is as follows. How to design the rational shape of CTTS is studied in section 2. Based on the basic principle of force iteration method and the features of the existed FEM software, an improved force iteration method is proposed in section 3. In section 4, three examples are given to verify the feasibility of the new method. In section 5, the way to solve the feasible prestress under self-weight and external loads is proposed...
and cable dome is taken as an example. Some conclusions are drawn at the end of the paper.

\[ N_g = N_i \cdot \cos \beta_i \]  

Taking all the struts as the research object, and the equilibrium equation of each strut in vertical direction is obtained:

\[ T_i \cdot \sin \alpha_i = N_i \cdot \sin \beta_i \]  

Substitute Eq. (2) and (3) into Eq. (4), and then obtain Eq. (5):

\[ T_i \cdot \tan \alpha_i = N_i \cdot \tan \beta_i \]  

Eq. (5) shows that the horizontal force components of the upper and lower chord cables are equal for any planar cable-truss frame with rational shape. Furthermore, the vertical force of each node also keeps the struts in equilibrium state. The horizontal and vertical equilibrium forces keep planar cable-truss frame in integral equilibrium state.

According to geometric relationship, then obtain Eq. (6) and Eq. (7):

\[
\begin{align*}
\tan \alpha_0 &= h_0 / l_0 \\
\tan \beta_0 &= d_i / l_0
\end{align*}
\]  

\[
\begin{align*}
\tan \alpha_i &= (h_i - h_0) / l_i \\
\tan \beta_i &= (d_i - d_0) / l_i
\end{align*}
\]  

From Eq. (5), (6) and (7), then obtain Eq. (8) and (9):

\[
\begin{align*}
\tan \alpha_0 &= h_0 / d_i = N_i / T_i \\
\tan \beta_0 &= d_0 / d_i = N_i / T_i
\end{align*}
\]  

(8)

Based on Difference Ratio Theorem, and Eq. (10) from Eq. (8) and (9) is obtain:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tan \alpha_i &= (h_i - h_0) / d_i = h_i - h_0 / d_i = \tan \alpha_0 = c \\
\tan \beta_i &= (d_i - d_0) / d_i = d_i - d_0 / d_i = \tan \beta_0 = c
\end{align*}
\]  

(10)

Similarly, the general equation can be written as:

\[
\frac{h_i}{d_i} - \frac{h_0}{d_0} = \frac{h_i}{d_i} = \frac{h_0}{d_0} = \cdots = h_i = c
\]  

(11)

Eq. (11) shows that the ratio of the upper part and lower part of all struts of planar cable-truss frame in a rational shape is a constant C. In total, when the ratio of the upper part and lower part of all struts of the planar cable-truss frame is constant C; the horizontal force components of the upper and lower chord cables are equal, and planar cable-truss frame is in equilibrium state and has an independent self-stress mode.

Reference [25] can be used to select the rise-span ratio of upper and lower chord cables, and then rational constant C can be obtained.

Meanwhile, the contour of planar cable-truss frame should follow the three curves:

\[
\begin{align*}
y + ax^2 + bx + c &= 0 \\
ax^2 + by^2 + c &= 0 \\
y + a \cosh(\frac{x}{a}) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(12)

The three curves not only can meet the requirements of drainage slope, but can provide the geometric shapes which can stiffen planar cable-truss frame under prestress.
2.2 Cable dome structure and spoke cable-truss structure

As cable dome structure and spoke cable-truss structure cannot enhance the overall stability and anti-lateral stiffness of the structure by the form of crossed connection like ACCTS, cable dome structure and spoke-cable truss structure enhance the overall stability and anti-lateral stiffness of the structure by adding ring cables (shown in Fig. 1b and Fig. 1c). Cable dome structure and spoke-cable truss structure can be divided into a series of planar cable-truss frames, so their design criteria of rational shape are similar to those of ACCTS. However, when cable dome structure and spoke-cable truss structure are simplified and calculated, it is necessary to replace the ring cable by the equivalent lower chord cables according to the equivalent stiffness principle. The difference between ring cable and equivalent lower chord cable is that the sectional size of cable is different.

Because the calculation process of equivalent lower chord cable of cable dome structure is the same as that of spoke cable-truss structure, the outermost ring cable of cable dome (shown in Fig. 1c) can be taken as the research object, and the simplified calculation model of cable dome structure is shown in Fig. 3. The calculation model can be considered as a composite cable-truss structure consisting of three planar cable-truss frames extending along the resultant force lines, including cable-truss frame 212°5, cable-truss frame 322°3°6, cable-truss frame 433°4°7°7, respectively. Its contour design can still refer to the shape design criteria of ACCTS.

\[
\begin{align*}
U &= \frac{u}{2\sin(\theta/2)} = \frac{PR}{2EA\sin(\theta/2)} \\
\text{so, the internal force of equivalent lower chord cable can be obtained:} \\
P &= \frac{2EA}{R}U \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\
\text{From the relationship between force and displacement, } P \text{ can also simplify} \\
\text{into the form of equivalent area } A_{eq}: \\
P &= EA_{eq}U \frac{1}{R} \\
\text{By comparing Eq. (17) with Eq. (18), the equivalent area can be obtained:} \\
A_{eq} &= 2A_{eq} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\
\end{align*}
\]

3. Solving self-stress modes

3.1. Theoretical basis of solving self-stress modes

Equilibrium theory [13-16] is a common method to solve self-stress of cable-strut tensile structures, and the basic equation is as follows:

\[
A \times T = F
\]
but taking one of initial cable force as the basic value (choose \(F_1\) or \(F_2\)). Then updating the prestress of rest elements, the group of updated cable values are 
\[F_i = [F_{i,1}, F_{i,2}, F_{i,3}],\]
which can be written as 
\[F_i = \left[1, F_{1,2}/F_{1,1}, F_{3,2}/F_{1,1}\right]^*F_i.\]
The unbalanced displacement of node 1 is \(u_i, (u_2 < \alpha_i)\), iteration process shown in 6d. The unbalanced displacement value \(\alpha_i\) is equal to \(\alpha_i\) when nonlinear, dead weight and external load are not considered. After the cable forces are updated, the improved force iteration method can approach the target value gradually and obtain the unbalanced displacement of structure under target prestress. (The superscript represents the result after each iteration and cable forces update, the same below)

\[
F_i = [F_{i,1}, F_{i,2}, F_{i,3}]\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\cos \theta_i & \cos \theta_i & 0 \\
\sin \theta_i & \sin \theta_i & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
P_1 \\
P_2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix} P \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\cos \theta_i & \cos \theta_i & 0 \\
\sin \theta_i & \sin \theta_i & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
P_1 \\
P_2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\
0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_i & \cos \theta_i & 0 \\
\sin \theta_i & \sin \theta_i & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\left\{ F_1, F_2, F_3 \right\} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_i \\
\sin(\theta_i - \theta_k) \\
\sin(\theta_i - \theta_l) \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_i \\
\sin(\theta_i - \theta_k) \\
\sin(\theta_i - \theta_l) \\
\end{bmatrix} 
\]

FEM software, the element stiffness matrix is obtained first, and then the integral stiffness matrix is assembled (the assembly process of the stiffness matrix is hidden in the background of software). Therefore, the internal forces of the planar cable-truss frame can be obtained through basic force unit.

\[
(K_{el}+K_u) U = F_{ext}+P_{ext}+R 
\]

For Eq. (25), \(K_{el}\) is elastic stiffness matrix, \(K_u\) is geometric stiffness matrix. \(U\) is nodal displacement vector, \(P\) is prestress vector of component, \(R\) is the unbalanced force vector generated by the higher order terms of \(U\) in the solving process. The geometric stiffness matrix \(K_u\) is related to nodal coordinates and the prestress of members.

When solve the Eq. (25), force iteration method or displacement iteration method can be used [26], and the solution obtained is the solution of Eq. (21) and Eq. (22). For the basic force unit shown in Fig. 4, the convergence speed of force iteration method or displacement iteration method is very fast [26]. Generally, only 3–4 times iterations are needed to achieve high accuracy. According to the simplicity degree of the existed FEM software, the force iteration method is recommended.

### 3.2. Improved force iteration method

According to the force iteration method in section 3.1, it is necessary to improve the force iteration method when solving the self-stress mode of planar cable-truss frame. Taking basic force unit as an example, the specific process is as follows:

1. First, a group of initial prestress values of \(F_0 = [F_{1,1}, F_{2,1}, F_{3,1}]\) is assigned to the basic force unit, shown in Fig. 6a:

2. By using force iteration method to solve the basic force unit in Fig. 6a, the prestress value of basic force unit can be obtained as 
\(F_i = [F_{i,1}, F_{i,2}, F_{i,3}]\). The unbalanced displacement of node 1 is \(u_i\), iteration process shown in Fig. 6b. (The 1st subscript represents element number, and the 2nd subscript represents the number of iteration, the same below.)

3. In the 2nd iteration, rather than substituting \(F_i = [F_{i,1}, F_{i,2}, F_{i,3}]\) into the original basic force unit to calculate (iteration process shown in Fig. 6c), the original basic force unit to calculate (iteration process shown in Fig. 6c), the improved force iteration method based on rational shape to solve self-stress mode of CTTS

Based on FEM software and improved force iteration method, improved force iteration method based on rational shape to solve self-stress mode of CTTS is proposed. The theoretical basis of this method is equilibrium matrix theory, which reflects in the solution process of force iteration method and extends to solve the self-stress mode of planar cable-truss frame.

Because planar cable-truss frame has many nodes and is symmetrical structure, the below several points should be paid more attentions when improved force iteration method is used to update cable force: (a) The element of planar cable-truss structure should be grouped; (b) Assuming that the ith group cable forces \(F_i\) are the basic values, the ratio values of updating cable forces are according to the ratio of each group cable forces \(F_i\) to be updating, 1 being relative to the ith group cable \(F_i\) obtained by each iteration calculation; (c) When updating the ith group cable force, directly update the ith group cable force according to the basic values; (d) After all groups of prestress values are updated and compensated, they can be used as the initial prestress values of the next iteration.

### Solving process is as follow:

1. (1) The simplified model of CTTS is designed by the design criteria of rational shape in section 2, and planar cable-truss frame with rational shape is obtained.

2. (2) The cable and strut elements of planar cable-truss frame are grouped into \(G\) groups, and the initial prestress value is \(F_0 = \left[\left[F_{i,1}, F_{i,2}, \ldots, F_{i,G}\right]\right]_0\); (3) Taking \(F_S\) as the initial prestress condition of the 1st nonlinear iteration, the result of the 1st iteration is \(F_0 = \left[\left[F_{i,1}, F_{i,2}, \ldots, F_{i,G}\right]\right]_0\). The displacement of each control node is \(U_i = [u_{i1}, u_{i2}, \ldots, u_{iX}]\). By the convergence criteria of force and displacement to judge whether the results meet the Eq. (26), if the results meet Eq. (26), then \(F_i\) is the self-stress mode, otherwise continue to iterate.
\[ |\mathbf{F}_j - \mathbf{F}_{j-1}| \leq \delta_1 \]
\[ |\mathbf{U}_j - \mathbf{U}_{j-1}| \leq \delta_2 \]  
(26)

\( F_{ij} \) and \( F_{ij}' \) are the jth and j-1th iteration calculation prestress values, \( U_{ij} \) and \( U_{ij-1} \) are the jth and j-1th iteration calculation displacement values, the same below.

(4) Update cable force (or prestress compensation) on the basis of \( \mathbf{F}_1 \). When updating cable force, any group of cable elements in \( \mathbf{F}_1 \) can be selected as the reference. If take the jth group cable force as reference, the updating jth group cable force is \( F_{j,1} = F_{j,0} \frac{F_{j,1}}{F_{j,1}} \), and the updating cable force is \( F'_{i,1} = [F'_{i,1,1}, F'_{i,1,2}, \ldots, F'_{i,1,n}] \). \( F'_{i,1} \) can be further written as Eq. (27) and (28):
\[ F'_{i,1} = \left[ \frac{F_{i,1}}{F_{i,2}}, \frac{F_{i,2}}{F_{i,2}}, \ldots, \frac{F_{i,n}}{F_{i,n}} \right] \]  
(27)
\[ F'_{i,1} = \left[ \frac{F_{i,1}}{F_{i,2}}, \frac{F_{i,2}}{F_{i,2}}, \ldots, \frac{F_{i,n}}{F_{i,n}} \right] \]  
(28)

Taking \( F'_{i,1} \) as the initial prestress condition of the 2nd nonlinear iteration, the result of the 2nd iteration is \( F_2 = [F_{2,1}, F_{2,2}, \ldots, F_{2,n}] \). The displacement of each control node is \( U_2 = [u_{2,1}, u_{2,2}, \ldots, u_{2,n}] \). According to the convergence criteria of force and displacement to judge whether the results meet the Eq. (26), if the results meet Eq. (26), then \( F_2 \) is the self-stress mode, otherwise continue to iterate.

(5) Update cable force on the basis of \( F_2 \). The updating jth group cable force is \( F'_{j,2} = F_{j,0} \frac{F_{j,2}}{F_{j,2}} \), and the updating cable force is \( F'_{i,2} = [F'_{i,2,1}, F'_{i,2,2}, \ldots, F'_{i,2,n}] \). \( F'_{i,2} \) can be further written as Eq. (29) and (30):
\[ F'_{i,2} = \left[ \frac{F_{i,2}}{F_{i,2}}, \frac{F_{i,2}}{F_{i,2}}, \ldots, \frac{F_{i,n}}{F_{i,n}} \right] \]  
(29)
\[ F'_{i,2} = \left[ \frac{F_{i,2}}{F_{i,2}}, \frac{F_{i,2}}{F_{i,2}}, \ldots, \frac{F_{i,n}}{F_{i,n}} \right] \]  
(30)

Taking \( F'_{i,2} \) as the initial prestress condition of the 3rd nonlinear iteration, the result of the 3rd iteration is \( F_3 = [F_{3,1}, F_{3,2}, \ldots, F_{3,n}] \). The displacement of each control node is \( U_3 = [u_{3,1}, u_{3,2}, \ldots, u_{3,n}] \). According to the convergence criteria of force and displacement to judge whether the results meet the Eq. (26), if the results meet Eq. (26), then \( F_3 \) is the self-stress mode, otherwise continue to iterate.

(6)  
(7) Similarly, Update cable force on the basis of \( F_3 \). The updating jth group cable force is \( F'_{j,3} = F_{j,0} \frac{F_{j,3}}{F_{j,3}} \), and the updating cable force is \( F'_{i,3} = [F'_{i,3,1}, F'_{i,3,2}, \ldots, F'_{i,3,n}] \). \( F'_{i,3} \) can be further written as Eq. (31) and (32):
\[ F'_{i,3} = \left[ \frac{F_{i,3}}{F_{i,3}}, \frac{F_{i,3}}{F_{i,3}}, \ldots, \frac{F_{i,n}}{F_{i,n}} \right] \]  
(31)
\[ F'_{i,3} = \left[ \frac{F_{i,3}}{F_{i,3}}, \frac{F_{i,3}}{F_{i,3}}, \ldots, \frac{F_{i,n}}{F_{i,n}} \right] \]  
(32)

Taking \( F'_{i,3} \) as the initial prestress condition of the \( k \)-th nonlinear iteration, the result of the \( k \)-th iteration is \( F_{k,1} = [F_{k,1,1}, F_{k,1,2}, \ldots, F_{k,1,n}] \). The displacement of each node is \( U_{k,1} = [u_{k,1,1}, u_{k,1,2}, \ldots, u_{k,1,n}] \).

According to the convergence criteria of force and displacement to judge whether the results meet the Eq. (26), if the results meet Eq. (26), then \( F_{k,1} \) is the self-stress mode, otherwise continue to iterate.

(8) Finally, substitute the self-stress mode obtained from planar cable-truss frame into the general FEM model, and further verify whether the self-stress modes obtained from planar cable-truss frame is correct. The self-stress mode is correct if the convergence accuracy is satisfied. Otherwise go back to step (2), and recalculate.

The solving flow chart of improved force iteration method based on rational shape, shown in Fig. 7.

4. Examples

4.1. Annular crossed cable-truss structure

By the design criteria in section 2, design a ACCTS with a diameter with 100m (Radius \( R = 50 \)m). According to the daylighting requirements and seating requirements of stadium, the radius \( R \) of the open-air part is 25.08m. The ring equivalent components are 12, and a single planar cable-truss frame spans 4 ring equivalent components, whose span is 86.60m. Firstly, assuming the vector heights of the upper and lower chord cables are 3.662m and 5.413m, respectively. Secondly, assuming the contour of planar cable-truss frame is a certain parabola, and obtain the coordinates of all nodes by numerical calculation. The perspective diagram of ACCTS is shown in Fig. 8. The size of planar cable-truss frame is shown in Fig. 9. Elastic modulus of cable is 13×10^7 Mpa. Elastic modulus of strut is 2.06×10^10 Mpa. Poisson’s ratio of cable and strut is 0.3, and cross-sections of cable and strut are shown in Table 1.

The self-stress mode of ACCTS is solved by improved force iteration method based on rational shape. As the stiffness of structural outer ring beam is very large, the constraint between structure and outer ring beam can be considered as rigid constraints. Taking cable force of element 2-4 as the updating basis value, assume that target prestress of element 2-4 is 240kN and the prestresses of other cables are 240kN. The results obtained by using the new method are compared with the theoretical values, shown in Table 1. The theoretical values are obtained by “node equilibrium method” in reference [21]. The iteration processes are shown in Fig. 10.
The change of displacement convergence value in the iteration process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of iteration</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
<th>4th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum displacement/m</td>
<td>0.0541</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen from Fig. 10 that the cable force distribution tends to be stable when the 3rd iteration is carried out, and the cable forces change very slightly when the iteration continues. Meanwhile, it can be seen from Table 1 that the 3rd iteration results are basically equal to the 4th iteration’s and the cable force tends to be stable. The maximum error of prestress is 0.23%, which meets engineering accuracy requirement. Displacement convergence values in iteration process are shown in Table 2. Table 2 shows that the errors between the calculation results of the 3rd and 4th displacement and design coordinates are 0.0014m and 0.0012m, respectively. The difference is 0.0002m. The calculation results of integral FEM model show in Fig. 11 by substituting the self-stress modes obtained from planar cable-truss frame into the integral FEM model, and internal forces and displacement of elements are basically equal to the results obtained from planar cable-truss frame. The example shows that new method has the advantages of fast convergence, high accuracy, stable calculation. The new method can accurately and quickly determine the prestress distribution that meets the design shape requirements.

4.2 Spoke cable-truss structure

Fig. 8 Annular crossed cable truss structure

Table 1
Prestress distribution of ACCTS Unit: kN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element number</th>
<th>Cross-section (mm²)</th>
<th>Theoretical values</th>
<th>Iteration process of the new method</th>
<th>error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Initial values</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>1495.93</td>
<td>354.00</td>
<td>240.00</td>
<td>266.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>1495.93</td>
<td>354.30</td>
<td>240.00</td>
<td>267.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>1495.93</td>
<td>355.66</td>
<td>240.00</td>
<td>267.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>1495.93</td>
<td>357.96</td>
<td>240.00</td>
<td>269.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>1930.57</td>
<td>240.00</td>
<td>240.00</td>
<td>185.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-6</td>
<td>1930.57</td>
<td>240.45</td>
<td>240.00</td>
<td>185.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>1930.57</td>
<td>241.54</td>
<td>240.00</td>
<td>186.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-9</td>
<td>1930.57</td>
<td>244.94</td>
<td>240.00</td>
<td>188.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>2578.76</td>
<td>-29.30</td>
<td>240.00</td>
<td>-22.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>2578.76</td>
<td>-25.36</td>
<td>240.00</td>
<td>-19.393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>2578.76</td>
<td>-43.35</td>
<td>240.00</td>
<td>-33.033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Error = |new method - theoretical values| / | theoretical values| × 100
Taking reference [22] as an example. Spoke cable-truss structure consists of 16 planar cable-truss frames, shown in Fig. 12. The schematic diagram of planar cable-truss structure is shown in Fig. 13. Element 3-4 and 5-6 are compression struts, and others are tension cables. Element 1-3 and 2-4 are equivalent lower chord. The cross-section of cable and strut are 7.069mm² and 143.728mm². Elastic modulus of cable and strut are 1.8×10⁵Mpa and 2.06×10⁵Mpa. Poisson’s ratio of cable and strut is 0.3.

![Fig. 12 Spoke Cable-truss Structure](image)

According to section 2, in order to ensure the original structural shape is not rational, which means that the coordinate of node 4 is equal to 170mm through 8 iterations. The reference [22] judged whether the structure had a self-stress mode by comparing the internal force of strut obtaining from solving the upper chord node 1, 3, 5 with the internal force of strut obtaining from solving the lower chord node 2, 4, 6. If the internal forces of struts obtained from solving the upper and lower nodes are equal, the structure exists a self-stress mode. Otherwise, the self-stress mode does not exist. Compared with the method in reference [22], the new method simplifies the judgment process.

It needs to redesign the structural shape because the original structure does not have self-stress mode. Modified criteria: Generally, the coordinate values of the upper chord nodes are not changed to meet the drainage slope requirements of structure. Meanwhile, the coordinate values of lower chord nodes are generally not allowed to move downward to meet the audience’s view and daylighting requirements.

To be the same as the modified geometrical shape of reference [22], the coordinates of upper chord nodes is unchanged, and modified coordinate of node 6 is 119mm. According to section 2, in order to ensure the original structure has the self-stress mode, the ratio of upper and lower chord lengths of all struts must be guaranteed to be equal. Namely, there exists an x value to make the equation 70/119=100/x exist and x is the modified coordinate of node 4, which is equal to 170mm. Reference [22] used the method of “modify the structural coordinate first – then solve the internal forces of components – finally judge whether the shape is rational”, and determined that the coordinate of node 4 is equal to 170mm through 8 iterations. Compared with the method in reference [22], the new method improves calculation efficiency. The modified geometrical shape is shown in Fig. 14.

The self-stress mode of spoke cable-truss structure is solved by using improved force iteration method based on rational shape. As the stiffness of structural outer ring beam is very large, the constraint between structure and outer ring beam can be considered as rigid constraints. According to Eq. (19) \[ A_0 = 2A_s \sin(\theta/2) \] \((\theta=22.5)\), the equivalent cross-sections of equivalent lower chord cables are shown in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross-section equivalent lower chord</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Element number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original areas/ mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent areas/ mm²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Taking cable force of element 4-6 as the updating basis, assume that target prestress of element 4-6 is 11.144kN and others’ are 11.144kN. The results obtained by using the new method are compared with the theoretical values. The comparative results are shown in Table 4. The theoretical values are obtained by “node equilibrium method” in reference [22]. The iteration processes are shown in Fig. 15.

![Fig. 13 Size of original cable-truss frame Unit: mm](image)

![Fig. 14 Size of modified cable-truss frame Unit: mm](image)

By the new method, first judge whether the structural shape is rational, and then solve the self-stress modes. It can be known that the ratio of upper and lower part of strut 1 is \(C_1=100/200=0.5\), and the ratio of upper and lower part of strut 2 is \(C_2=70/150=0.47\). Because \(C_1\) is not equal to \(C_2\), structural shape is not rational, which shows that the independent self-stress mode does not exist. The reference [22] judged whether the structure had a self-stress mode by comparing the internal force of strut obtaining from solving the upper chord node 1, 3, 5 with the internal force of strut obtaining from solving the lower chord node 2, 4, 6. If the internal forces of struts obtained from solving the upper and lower nodes are equal, the structure exists a self-stress mode. Otherwise, the self-stress mode does not exist. Compared with the method in reference [22], the new method simplifies the judgment process.

The internal forces of ring cable in Table 3 are obtained by “node equilibrium method” in reference [22]. The iteration processes are shown in Fig. 15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4 Prestress distribution of Spoke cable-truss Structure Unit: kN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Element number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Error=abs([the 7th iteration results]-reference [22])/ reference [22] × 100, tensile force of ring cable and internal force of equivalent lower chord cable are converted according to Eq. (13): \[ P = 2T \sin(\theta/2) \] \(P\) stands for internal force of equivalent lower chord cable, \(T\) stands for tensile force of ring cable, \(\theta=22.5\). The internal forces of ring cable in Table 4 are equivalent calculated internal forces.
The change of displacement convergence values in iteration process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of iteration</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
<th>4th</th>
<th>5th</th>
<th>6th</th>
<th>7th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum displacement/m</td>
<td>0.0168</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.00048</td>
<td>0.00026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3. Cable dome structure

Taking cable dome structure without inner ring as an example, shown in Fig. 17. The structure exists a single self-stress mode, and its span and vector height are 100m and 10m, respectively. The ring equivalent components are 12. Namely, the structure consists of 12 planar cable-truss frames, shown in Fig. 18. Elastic modulus of cable and strut is $1.9 \times 10^5$ kN/m$^2$ and $2.06 \times 10^5$ kN/m$^2$, respectively, and its Poisson’s ratio is 0.3. The density of cable and strut is $7.85 \times 10^3$ kg/m$^3$. Structural size and element number are shown in Fig. 18. The cable dome structure in Fig. 17 conforms to the design criteria in section 2, so geometric shape is rational. Namely, the structure has a self-stress mode and makes the structure rigidized.

The self-stress mode of cable dome structure is solved by using improved force iteration method based on rational shape. As the stiffness of structural outer ring beam is very large, the constraints between structure and outer ring beam can be considered as rigid constraints. According to Eq. (19) $A_w = 2A_s \sin(\theta / 2) (\theta = 22.5^\circ)$, the equivalent cross-section of equivalent lower chord cable is shown in Table 6. Taking cable force of element 3-4 as the updating basis, assume that target prestress of element 3-4 is 2734.18kN and others’ are 2734.18kN. The results obtained by using the new method are compared with the theoretical values. The comparative results are shown in Table 7. The theoretical values are obtained by “nodal equilibrium method” in reference [23]. The iteration results of new method are shown in Fig. 19.
Table 6
Cross-section of equivalent lower chord

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element number</th>
<th>Original area/mm²</th>
<th>Equivalent area/mm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-9</td>
<td>6273.00</td>
<td>3247.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-10</td>
<td>7658.40</td>
<td>3964.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-11</td>
<td>19473.20</td>
<td>10080.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7
Prestress distribution of cable dome structure Unit: kN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element number</th>
<th>Sectional area/mm²</th>
<th>Ref. [23]</th>
<th>Iteration process of the new method</th>
<th>Error %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SYD</td>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>2116.6</td>
<td>865.67</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>945.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>3271.2</td>
<td>1008.881</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>1118.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>5349.3</td>
<td>1477.825</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>1646.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>7658.4</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>3042.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>1193.0</td>
<td>139.987</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>170.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>1193.0</td>
<td>459.785</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>517.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-7</td>
<td>3271.2</td>
<td>1194.105</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>1326.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-8</td>
<td>7658.4</td>
<td>3005.301</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>3351.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-9</td>
<td>6273.0</td>
<td>842.445</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>948.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-10</td>
<td>7658.4</td>
<td>2202.098</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>2446.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-11</td>
<td>19473.2</td>
<td>5526.226</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>6162.867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>-500.000</td>
<td>-500.000</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>-599.736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>1306.9</td>
<td>-145.676</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>-163.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-7</td>
<td>3543.7</td>
<td>-355.683</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>-395.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-8</td>
<td>8262.4</td>
<td>-921.348</td>
<td>2734.18</td>
<td>-1025.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Error = abs[(the 6th iteration results) - reference [23]] / reference [23] × 100, tensile force of ring cable and internal force of equivalent lower chord are converted according to Eq. (13): $P = 2T \sin(\theta/2)$. $P$ stands for internal force of equivalent lower chord, $T$ stands for tensile force of ring cable, $\theta=30^\circ$. The internal forces of ring cable in Table 7 are equivalent calculated internal forces.

Table 8
The real calculation results of element 0-5 in the original structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration number</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
<th>4th</th>
<th>5th</th>
<th>6th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New method kN</td>
<td>-99.956</td>
<td>-78.353</td>
<td>-83.170</td>
<td>-83.261</td>
<td>-83.269</td>
<td>-83.268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 times kN</td>
<td>-599.736</td>
<td>-470.116</td>
<td>-499.018</td>
<td>-499.564</td>
<td>-499.613</td>
<td>-499.607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9
The change of displacement convergence values in iteration process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration number</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
<th>4th</th>
<th>5th</th>
<th>6th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum displacement/m</td>
<td>0.2995</td>
<td>0.0159</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It can be seen from Table 7 and Fig. 19 that the cable force distribution tends to be stable when the 4th iteration is accomplished, and the cable force changes very slightly when the iteration continues. According to the comparison between the results of the 6th iteration and SVD, the maximum error of prestress force is 0.106%. The displacement convergence values in iteration process are shown in Table 9. Table 9 shows that the errors between the calculation results of the 5th and 6th and the design coordinates are 0.0058m and 0.0058m, respectively. The difference is zero. The calculation results of integral FEM model show in Fig. 20 by substituting the self-stress modes obtained from planar cable-truss frame into the integral FEM model, and internal forces and displacements of elements are basically equal to the results obtained from planar cable-truss frame. From the calculation results of forces and displacements, it can meet the requirements of engineering accuracy. The example shows that new method has fast design, reasonable shape determination and stable solving self-stress mode. The new method proposes a new approach to solve the self-stress mode of cable dome structure.

5. The method of solving feasible prestress

Reference [21-23] can be referred to solve the feasible prestress under self-weight and external loads. Self-weight and external loads can be equivalent to concentrated forces on each node, shown in Fig. 21.

Solving process of equivalent concentrated force [27] (1) Firstly, based on SHELL 154 element in ANSYS, the virtual surface units are built on the grid composed of upper chord cable section of cable-truss frame (the virtual unit only transfers load and does not participate in calculation).

Equivalent nodal loads under self-weight and external loads

6. Conclusions

The paper proposes “Improved force iteration method based on rational shape design solving self-stress mode of cable-truss tensile structure”. The conclusions are as follows. According to the topology of CTTS, the internal relation of maintaining the structural balance is obtained based on the rational shape of planar cable-truss frame. This special relation can be used to complete the fast design of planar cable-truss frame (the designed structure has an independent self-stress mode) or to determine whether the geometric shape of the existing cable-truss structure is rational or not. And then assemble the planar cable-truss frame to form the spatial CTTS. Finally, the way to solve feasible prestress is proposed. The examples show that the new method has the advantages of systematic design and solution flow, high accuracy, fast convergence and stable calculation. Meanwhile, the new method can be used in FEM software, which is easy to learn for engineers and designers. The new method offers a new approach for form-finding and design of CTTS.
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Table 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>0-1</th>
<th>1-2</th>
<th>2-3</th>
<th>3-4</th>
<th>1-5</th>
<th>2-6</th>
<th>3-7</th>
<th>4-8</th>
<th>6-9</th>
<th>7-10</th>
<th>8-11</th>
<th>9-12</th>
<th>3-8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no self-weight</td>
<td>866.14</td>
<td>1009.19</td>
<td>1477.76</td>
<td>2734.14</td>
<td>139.83</td>
<td>459.38</td>
<td>1194.24</td>
<td>3007.07</td>
<td>841.81</td>
<td>2202.60</td>
<td>5530.08</td>
<td>-499.60</td>
<td>-145.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-weight</td>
<td>697.64</td>
<td>810.60</td>
<td>1320.00</td>
<td>2639.00</td>
<td>110.40</td>
<td>505.32</td>
<td>1260.90</td>
<td>3115.10</td>
<td>925.47</td>
<td>2325.00</td>
<td>5727.70</td>
<td>-949.42</td>
<td>-361.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External loads</td>
<td>528.59</td>
<td>607.77</td>
<td>1020.90</td>
<td>2339.20</td>
<td>76.98</td>
<td>410.91</td>
<td>1270.30</td>
<td>3455.90</td>
<td>752.42</td>
<td>2340.80</td>
<td>6353.20</td>
<td>-925.60</td>
<td>-286.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node number</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>External loads/kN</td>
<td>-111.700</td>
<td>-46.818</td>
<td>-96.854</td>
<td>-139.520</td>
<td>-98.025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11 shows that the structural feasible prestress under self-weight changes slightly comparing with its self-stress mode, so the influence of self-weight can be ignored in design. But under the external loads of 0.6kN/m², the structural feasible prestress changes dramatically, so the influence of external loads on the structure should be considered in the actual design.

Fig. 21 Applying method of equivalent nodal loads


